# Best Available Copy of The Po

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS.

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/002,648

01/05/98

HORNE

043

042390.P5113

WM02/1128 \* '

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR AND ZAFMAN 7TH FLOOR 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES CA 90025 GHEBRETINSAE, T
ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

**EXAMINER** 

**2**631

D

**DATE MAILED:** 

11/28/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/002,648 Applicant(s)

**HORNE** 

Examiner

TEMESGHEN GHEBRETINSAE

Group Art Unit 2631



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
a) [X] expires4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 9, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
☐ The proposed amendment(s):
☐ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
will not be entered because:
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
The state of the following rejection(s):
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowable.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowable.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         <ul> <li>Claims 1–3.5-6.8-16.19-24 as claimed now are anticipated and obvious over Rosen. (see attached paper)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>★ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>★ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>★ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims</li></ul>

Serial Number: 09/002,648cpa

Art Unit: 27342631

### Response to Arguments

Applicant arguments is not clear it seems to imply that a) the encoded signal corresponds to the information to be sent i.e., "information 213" = "information 214". b) the logical number or the numeric value of the information corresponds to the encoded signal. However as claimed the word "correspond" is so vague that the claims (1-3, 5-6,8-16,19-24) as claimed now read on Rosen claimed invention.(see Rosen col. 1, lines 23-43)

TEMESGHEN GHERRETINSAE PRIMARY EXAMINER